



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,147	03/30/2007	Gurmit Singh-Gill	AJPARK38.001APC	9247
20995	7590	03/01/2011	EXAMINER	
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			BLAND, LAYLA D	
2040 MAIN STREET				
FOURTEENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA 92614			1623	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/01/2011	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com  
efiling@kmob.com  
eOAPilot@kmob.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/580,147	SINGH-GILL ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
LAYLA BLAND	1623	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) LAYLA BLAND.

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Raymond D. Smith.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 February 2011
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner left a message for Mr. Smith, indicating that the product claims were in condition for allowance but that the withdrawn method claims were not in condition for allowance. The examiner proposed cancelling the non-elected claims.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Layla Bland/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1623

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)